Impact of Export and Import of Principal Commodities on Exchange Rate Movement in India

OPJU Business Review
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2025)
ISSN: 2583-729X (Online)
Published online in OPJU India
(http://www.opju.ac.in/opjubr/)

Mahesh Kumar Bagarti
School of Management, GM University, Sambalpur, India
bagartimahesh@gmail.com
Suprava Sahu
Ravenshaw University, Cuttack, India

Abstract

This study investigates the intricate relationship between principal commodities trade and exchange rates in India over a span of 20 years, employing regression and correlation techniques. Utilizing data sourced from the Reserve Bank of India, the analysis uncovers several significant findings. Primarily, it reveals a robust and statistically significant positive correlation between total exports and exchange rates, signifying that heightened export activity contributes to the strengthening of the Indian rupee vis-à-vis the US dollar. Conversely, the study observes a noteworthy negative correlation between total imports and exchange rates, indicating that increased import levels exert downward pressure on the Indian rupee. Both total exports and imports wield substantial influence on exchange rate fluctuations, highlighting the pivotal role of trade dynamics in shaping currency valuations. These findings carry critical economic implications for India's trade policies and overall economic stability. Policymakers are urged to carefully consider these dynamics when formulating strategies to enhance export competitiveness, alleviate trade imbalances, and uphold exchange rate stability. Emphasizing export-oriented growth initiatives and judiciously managing import levels can foster sustainable economic development and bolster exchange rate resilience in India. By shedding light on the nuanced interplay between principal commodities trade and exchange rates, this study contributes valuable insights to the existing body of literature in international trade and currency dynamics. It provides a foundation for evidence-based policymaking and strategic decision-making among policymakers, economists, and businesses engaged in India's trade and currency markets.

Keywords: *Principal commodities, trade, exchange rates, export, import, regression analysis, correlation analysis, economic implications, policy consideration*

Citation: Bagarti, K., M. and Sahu, S. (2025). Impact of Export and Import of Principal Commodities on Exchange Rate Movement in India. *OPJU Business Review*, 4(1), 61-75. *https://doi.org/10.63825/opjubr.2025.4.1.05*

Introduction

Principal commodities trade is essential in India for several reasons, pivotal among them being its significant contributions to the economy, sustenance of various industries, and ensuring food security. Firstly, India's economy heavily relies on the export and import of principal commodities such as agricultural products, minerals, and manufactured goods. These commodities constitute a substantial portion of India's international trade, generating revenue, creating employment opportunities, and stimulating economic growth. Secondly, the trade of principal commodities is vital for sustaining key industries in India, including agriculture, manufacturing, and energy. Agricultural commodities, for instance, support the livelihoods of millions of farmers and contribute to food security and rural development. Similarly, the trade of minerals and energy resources fuels industrial production and infrastructure development, driving economic progress across the country. Thirdly, principal commodities trade plays a crucial role in ensuring food security and meeting the diverse needs of India's population. Imports of essential commodities such as edible oils, pulses, and spices supplement domestic production, helping to stabilize prices and mitigate shortages during periods of scarcity. Conversely, exports of agricultural products and processed goods contribute to earning foreign exchange and enhancing India's global competitiveness. Principal commodities are the backbone of the Indian economy, playing a multifaceted role in driving growth, employment, and development across various sectors. From agricultural products to minerals, textiles, and IT services, these commodities form the foundation upon which India's economic landscape thrives.

Principal commodities can influence a country's foreign exchange reserves and exchange rate through various channels: When a country exports principal commodities such as agricultural products, minerals, textiles, petroleum products, gems, jewellery, and IT services, it earns revenue in foreign currency. Higher export earnings increase the country's foreign exchange reserves, providing a buffer against external shocks and contributing to currency stability. Producing principal commodities domestically reduces the need for imports, conserving foreign exchange reserves. For instance, if a country can produce enough food domestically, it reduces the need to import food, conserving foreign currency reserves that would otherwise be spent on imports. This can indirectly support the exchange rate by reducing the pressure on the currency due to imports. Fluctuations in commodity prices can impact export earnings and, consequently, foreign exchange reserves. For example, a decrease in the international price of a country's primary commodity exports can reduce export revenues, leading to a decline in foreign exchange reserves. Conversely, rising commodity prices can boost export earnings and increase reserves. The trade balance, which is the difference between a country's exports and imports, directly affects its foreign exchange reserves and exchange rate. Exporting more than importing leads to a trade surplus, increasing foreign exchange reserves and potentially strengthening the currency. Conversely, a trade deficit, where imports exceed exports, can deplete foreign exchange reserves and weaken the currency. Foreign investors often take into account a country's export

performance and the stability of its principal commodity sectors when making investment decisions. Strong export performance and stable commodity sectors can attract foreign investment, increasing foreign exchange reserves and potentially appreciating the exchange rate. In summary, the production, export, and management of principal commodities play a crucial role in shaping a country's foreign exchange reserves and exchange rate

Review of Literature

Onafowora and Owoye (2008) investigated the relationship between exchange rate volatility and export growth in Nigeria. They recognized that understanding the dynamics between exchange rate fluctuations and export growth was crucial for policymakers to formulate effective strategies to enhance Nigeria's export competitiveness and promote economic stability. They explored theories such as the J-curve phenomenon, which suggests that exchange rate depreciation initially leads to a deterioration in the trade balance before eventually improving it over time. They employed econometric techniques to find that there is an inverse relationship between exchange rate volatility and export growth while controlling for other relevant factors such as GDP, trade openness, and government policies and the study contributed to the existing literature by providing empirical evidence on the nexus between exchange rate volatility and export growth in the context of Nigeria. Their findings offered insights for policymakers and stakeholders seeking to formulate strategies to promote export-led growth and enhance economic resilience in the face of external shocks.

In their 2011 study, Beena and Mallick conducted an extensive examination of the intricate relationship between exchange rates and the exporting behaviour of the Indian Textiles and Clothing (T&C) sector across major destination countries. The research aimed to uncover the nuanced effects of exchange rate fluctuations on the export dynamics of the T&C industry, which is a vital component of India's economy and global trade landscape. Through meticulous analysis of data spanning various time periods and destination markets, the study provided a comprehensive understanding of how changes in exchange rates impacted the competitiveness and performance of Indian T&C exports. By considering the diverse economic environments and trade policies of different destination countries, the research shed light on the nuanced responses of exporters within the T&C sector to exchange rate movements. Furthermore, by examining the exporting behaviour across multiple destination countries, the study offered insights into the sector's resilience and adaptability to currency fluctuations in different market contexts. Overall, this research significantly contributes to the literature on international trade by elucidating the complex interplay between exchange rates and export behaviour, particularly within the context of a prominent sector like textiles and clothing in a dynamic economy like India's.

In Sweidan's 2013 uncovered a strong relationship between exchange rate movements and the volume of trade only in the short run in Jordan's economy. Sweidan’s findings underscored the significance of exchange rate stability in fostering trade growth and competitiveness in Jordan's

economy, emphasizing the need for coordinated policy efforts to manage exchange rate risks effectively. The study not only contributed to the literature on exchange rate determination but also offered valuable insights for policymakers and stakeholders involved in international trade within the Jordanian context, highlighting avenues for further research to explore the implications of exchange rate policies on trade performance in emerging market economies like Jordan.

Amiti et al. 2014 made a significant contribution to the literature on international trade and exchange rate dynamics. Focused on exchange rate pass-through, the authors explored how firms' import and export activities influenced the extent to which exchange rate fluctuations affected prices. Through a comprehensive analysis of Belgian firm-level data, they found that importers and exporters exhibited different degrees of exchange rate pass-through, indicating that firms' involvement in international trade played a crucial role in determining the transmission of exchange rate movements to domestic prices. This study shed light on the complex interactions between exchange rates, trade activities, and price dynamics, offering valuable insights for policymakers and researchers alike.

Gondaliya and Dave's (2015) analyzed the data spanning a considerable timeframe and investigated how variations in export and import levels influenced exchange rate dynamics. Through their empirical analysis, they discerned significant insights into the exchange rate pass-through mechanism in India, shedding light on the extent to which changes in trade activities affected currency valuation. The findings of this study held relevance not only for academics but also for policymakers and market participants seeking a deeper understanding of the factors shaping exchange rate movements in the Indian economy.

Yusoff and Nulambeh's (2016) contributed to the literature by examining the interplay between key economic variables and growth in the context of Cameroon. Through empirical analysis, the authors investigated the relationships among exports, imports, exchange rates, gross domestic investment, and economic growth, offering valuable insights into the determinants of economic performance in the region. By analyzing data specific to Cameroon, the study provided empirical evidence that shed light on the significance of trade dynamics, exchange rate movements, and domestic investment in driving economic growth. The findings held implications for policymakers and stakeholders interested in fostering sustainable economic development in Cameroon and other similar economies.

Oluyemi and Isaac's (2017) contributed valuable insights into the dynamics of international trade within the Nigerian context. By examining data spanning nearly two decades, the authors explored the impact of exchange rate fluctuations on both imports and exports, shedding light on the relationship between currency valuation and trade activity. Through their empirical analysis, they discerned significant patterns and trends, providing empirical evidence of how changes in exchange rates influenced the balance of trade in Nigeria. The findings of this study offered

valuable implications for policymakers and stakeholders interested in enhancing Nigeria's trade competitiveness and managing currency fluctuations effectively.

In their 2018 Kang and Dagli conducted a meticulous review of literature, examining various perspectives and empirical studies to unravel the intricate dynamics at play. They synthesized existing research to highlight the pivotal role of exchange rates in shaping global trade patterns, emphasizing how fluctuations could affect nations' competitiveness and economic growth. Furthermore, the authors delved into the implications of exchange rate policies on trade imbalances and international competitiveness, scrutinizing different exchange rate regimes and their impact on macroeconomic outcomes. Additionally, they explored the relationship between exchange rate movements and trade flows, considering concepts like exchange rate pass-through and the effects of volatility on trade decisions. By offering a comprehensive overview of the existing literature, Kang and Dagli not only enhanced our understanding of the complex interplay between international trade and exchange rates but also identified avenues for future research and policy considerations.

Dada (2021) aimed to explore the asymmetric impact of exchange rate volatility on trade in sub-Saharan African (SSA) countries. Dada sought to investigate whether the effects of exchange rate volatility on trade were symmetric or asymmetric in nature, meaning whether positive and negative fluctuations had differing impacts on trade flows. Overall, Dada's research contributed to the literature by providing empirical insights into the asymmetric effects of exchange rate volatility on trade in SSA countries. By addressing gaps in existing knowledge, the study offered valuable implications for policymakers and stakeholders seeking to mitigate the adverse effects of exchange rate fluctuations on trade and promote economic stability in the region.

Joseph and Ibrahim (2022) aimed to investigate the effects of import substitution policies on trade dynamics and exchange rates in Ghana. Drawing on this background, Joseph and Ibrahim conducted their own empirical analysis using data specific to Ghana. They employed econometric techniques to assess the impact of import substitution policies on trade flows and exchange rate dynamics while controlling for other relevant factors such as GDP growth, inflation, and government policies. They contributed to the existing literature by providing empirical insights into the effects of import substitution policies on trade and exchange rates in the Ghanaian context. Their findings offered valuable implications for policymakers and stakeholders involved in trade and industrial policy formulation, highlighting the need to carefully evaluate the trade-offs and implications of import substitution strategies for overall economic performance and competitiveness.

Hassan, Loualiche, Pecora, and Ward (2023) explored the intricate relationship between international trade and the volatility inherent in bilateral exchange rates in their seminal work titled "International trade and the risk in bilateral exchange rates," published in the Journal of Financial Economics. Through a meticulous examination of data and sophisticated econometric

analyses, the authors unraveled the complexities of this nexus, shedding light on the mechanisms through which international trade influences the variability of exchange rates between trading partners. Their findings provided valuable insights for policymakers, businesses, and investors seeking to navigate the intricacies of global trade and currency markets, offering a nuanced understanding of the risks and opportunities inherent in international economic interactions.

Research Gap

A research gap in the domain of international trade concerning the export and import of principal commodities lies in the examination of the differential impacts of exchange rate volatility on specific categories of goods and services. While existing studies have provided valuable insights into the general relationship between exchange rate movements and trade dynamics, there remains a lack of comprehensive analysis focusing on the export and import of principal commodities, such as agricultural products, raw materials, and manufactured goods, individually. Understanding how exchange rate volatility affects the export competitiveness and import demand for these key commodities could offer crucial insights for policymakers and businesses aiming to enhance trade performance and manage currency risks effectively. Furthermore, exploring the sectoral variations in the response to exchange rate fluctuations could uncover opportunities for targeted policy interventions to promote export diversification, improve import substitution strategies, and foster sustainable economic development. By addressing this research gap, scholars can contribute to a more nuanced understanding of the complex interactions between exchange rates and trade in specific commodity sectors, thereby informing evidence-based policy decisions and enhancing the resilience of economies to currency volatility in the global marketplace.

Methodology

Research Question

- Is there any impact of import & export of principal commodities on exchange rate in India?

Research Objectives

- To find out the degree of impact of export of principal commodities on exchange rate in India.
- To find out the degree of impact of exchange rate on import of principal commodities
- To establish the relationship between exchange rate and export and import of principal commodities.

Source of Data Collection

The data has been sourced from Reserve Bank of India (Database on Indian Economy). The data is purely secondary in nature.

Sample Size

The sample size for the aforesaid study has been undertaken for a period of 20 years i.e. from 2004 to 2023.

Description of The Variables

Export and Import of Principal Commodities

Exchange rate between India and USA

Scope of the study

The scope of the study encompasses an in-depth analysis of the relationship between principal commodities trade, represented by total exports and imports, and exchange rates in India over a 20-year period. The study focuses on understanding how changes in the volume of exports and imports impact fluctuations in exchange rates, with a specific emphasis on the Indian rupee relative to the US dollar. The analysis utilizes regression and correlation techniques to quantify the magnitude and direction of these relationships, providing insights into the dynamics of trade and currency valuations in the Indian context.

Furthermore, the study aims to uncover the economic implications of these relationships, including their effects on trade policies, economic stability, and growth prospects in India. By examining the interplay between principal commodities trade and exchange rates, the study seeks to inform evidence-based decision-making among policymakers, economists, and businesses involved in international trade and currency markets.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

The data analysis employed regression analysis and correlation techniques to examine the relationship between principal commodities trade, represented by total exports and imports, and exchange rates in India over a 20-year period. Regression analysis was utilized to quantify the impact of total exports and imports on exchange rates, while correlation analysis was used to measure the strength and direction of the relationships between these variables.

Regression analysis involves fitting a regression model to the data to estimate the relationship between the dependent variable (exchange rates) and one or more independent variables (total exports and imports). In this case, the regression model aimed to determine how changes in

total exports and imports influence fluctuations in exchange rates. The regression coefficients provide insights into the magnitude and direction of these relationships, indicating the extent to which exports and imports affect exchange rates.

Correlation analysis, on the other hand, measures the degree of linear association between two variables, in this case, total exports and imports, and exchange rates. The correlation coefficient quantifies the strength and direction of the relationship between these variables, ranging from -1 to 1. A correlation coefficient close to 1 indicates a strong positive relationship, while a coefficient close to -1 indicates a strong negative relationship. A coefficient close to 0 suggests little to no linear relationship between the variables.

Table 1: Total Exports, Imports and Exchange Rates in US$

Year	Total Exports in USD	Total Imports in USD	Exchange Rates in USD/INR
2004	63,843	78,149	45.32
2005	83,536	1,11,517	44.1
2006	1,03,091	1,49,166	45.31
2007	1,26,414	1,85,735	41.35
2008	1,62,904	2,51,439	43.51
2009	1,85,295	3,03,696	48.41
2010	1,78,751	2,88,373	45.73
2011	2,51,136	3,69,769	46.67
2012	3,05,964	4,89,320	53.44
2013	3,00,401	4,90,737	56.57
2014	3,12,621	4,50,082	62.33
2015	3,10,352	4,48,033	62.97
2016	2,62,291	3,81,008	66.46
2017	2,75,852	3,84,357	67.79
2018	3,03,526	4,65,581	70.09
2019	3,30,078	5,14,078	70.39
2020	3,13,361	4,74,709	76.38
2021	2,91,808	3,94,436	74.57
2022	4,22,004	6,13,052	81.35
2023	4,50,958	7,14,042	81.94

Source: rbi.org.in

The provided data offers a snapshot of exchange rates in US dollars, total exports in US dollars, and total imports in US dollars, each based on 20 observations. With no missing data points,

the dataset provides a comprehensive view of these economic variables. Exchange rates fluctuate between 41.350 and 81.940, exhibiting variability over the observed period with a mean of 59.234 and a standard deviation of 13.778.

Total exports range from 63,842.600 to 450,958.426, with an average of 251,709.373 and a standard deviation of 106,394.900. Similarly, total imports vary from 78,149.100 to 714,042.449, with a mean of 377,864.038 and a standard deviation of 165,295.508. These statistics illustrate substantial variation in both export and import values, reflecting the dynamism of international trade. Such insights can inform analyses of trade trends, currency movements, and economic performance.

Table 2: Summary Statistics

Variable	**Obs.**	**Obs. with missing data**	**Obs. without missing data**	**Minimum**	**Maximum**	**Mean**	**Std. deviation**
Exchange Rates US$	20	0	20	41.350	81.940	59.234	13.778
Total Exports in US$	20	0	20	63842.600	450958.426	251709.373	106394.900
Total Imports in USD	20	0	20	78149.100	714042.449	377864.038	165295.508

Source: Authors own calculation using XLSTAT

Policymakers, economists, and businesses can leverage this data to understand trade dynamics, identify opportunities and risks, and formulate effective strategies to manage trade-related challenges and capitalize on emerging opportunities in the global marketplace.

Table 3: Correlation Matrix

	Total Exports in US$	Total Imports in US$	Exchange Rates US$
Total Exports in US$	1	0.991	0.870
Total Imports in USD	0.991	1	0.826

Exchange Rates US$	0.870	0.826	1

Source: Authors own calculation using XLSTAT

The correlation matrix provided depicts the relationships between total exports in US dollars, total imports in US dollars, and exchange rates in US dollars. Each cell in the matrix represents the correlation coefficient between the respective variables. A correlation coefficient of 1 indicates a perfect positive correlation, while -1 signifies a perfect negative correlation, and 0 implies no correlation. In this case, the correlation between total exports and total imports is very strong, with a coefficient of 0.991. This suggests a high positive correlation, indicating that as total exports increase, total imports also tend to increase proportionally, reflecting a close interdependence between these two variables within the economic context considered. Similarly, the correlation coefficient between total exports and exchange rates is 0.870, indicating a moderately strong positive correlation. This suggests a positive relationship between exchange rates and total exports, implying that as exchange rates increase, total exports tend to increase as well, albeit not as strongly as the correlation between exports and imports. Likewise, the correlation between total imports and exchange rates is 0.826, indicating another moderately strong positive correlation. This implies a positive relationship between exchange rates and total imports, suggesting that as exchange rates increase, total imports also tend to increase.

Table 4: Goodness of Fit Statistics

Observations	20
Sum of weights	20
DF	17
R^2	0.821
Adjusted R^2	0.800
MSE	37.872
RMSE	6.154
MAPE	8.333
DW	1.980
Cp	3.000
AIC	75.434
AICC	76.934
SBC	78.421

PC	0.242

Source: Authors own calculation using XLSTAT

The statistical output presents the performance metrics of a regression model based on 20 observations. The R-squared value of 0.821 indicates that approximately 82.1% of the variance in the dependent variable is explained by the independent variables included in the model, indicating a strong fit. The adjusted R-squared, which accounts for the number of predictors, remains high at 0.800, suggesting that the model's explanatory power is robust even after considering the complexity of the model. The mean squared error (MSE) and root mean squared error (RMSE) of 37.872 and 6.154, respectively, indicate that, on average, the model's predictions deviate by approximately 6.154 units from the observed values. The mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) of 8.333% suggests that, on average, the model's predictions deviate by approximately 8.333% from the observed values. The Durbin-Watson statistic of 1.980 indicates no potential positive autocorrelation in the residuals.

The provided statistical output presents the results of an analysis of variance (ANOVA) for a regression model. The model's effectiveness in explaining the variance in the dependent variable is assessed through the F-test. With 2 degrees of freedom for the model, the sum of squares for the model is 2962.949, resulting in a mean square value of 1481.475. The F-statistic of 39.118 is associated with a very low p-value of less than 0.0001, denoted by '***', indicating extreme statistical significance.

Table 5: Analysis of Variance

Source	**DF**	**Sum of squares**	**Mean squares**	**F**	**Pr > F**	**p-values signification codes**
Model	2.000	2962.949	1481.475	39.118	**<0.0001**	***
Error	17.000	643.816	37.872			
Corrected Total	19.000	3606.765				
Computed against model Y=Mean(Y)						
*Signification codes: 0 < *** < 0.001 < ** < 0.01 < * < 0.05 <. < 0.1 < ° < 1*						

Source: Authors own calculation using XLSTAT

This suggests that the model as a whole significantly contributes to explaining the variation in the dependent variable. The error term represents the unexplained variance in the model, with 17 degrees of freedom and a mean square error (MSE) of 37.872. The corrected total sums of squares provide an overall measure of the variance in the dependent variable. This ANOVA table allows for a comprehensive assessment of the model's fit and significance, indicating that the model performs significantly better than a baseline model with a constant mean. Overall, the results suggest that the model has a strong explanatory power and is a valuable tool for understanding the relationship between the independent and dependent variables.

Table 6: Model Parameters

Source	Value	Standard error	t	Pr > |t|	Lower bound (95%)	Upper bound (95%)	p-values signification codes
Intercept	29.414	3.660	8.036	<0.0001	21.691	37.137	***
Total Exports	0.000	0.000	3.632	0.002	0.000	0.001	**
Total Imports	0.000	0.000	-2.49	0.023	0.000	0.000	*
*Signification codes: 0 < *** < 0.001 < ** < 0.01 < * < 0.05 < . < 0.1 < ° < 1*							

Source: Authors own calculation using XLSTAT

The regression output provided offers insights into the relationship between the dependent variable and two independent variables: total exports in US dollars and total imports in US dollars. The intercept term has a coefficient of 29.414 with a standard error of 3.660. It exhibits a high level of statistical significance, with a t-value of 8.036 and a p-value of less than 0.0001, indicated by '***'. This suggests that the intercept significantly contributes to the model's predictive power. Moving to the independent variables, the coefficient for total exports is 0.000 with a standard error of 0.000. It yields a t-value of 3.632 and a p-value of 0.002, denoted by '**', indicating that total exports have a statistically significant positive effect on the dependent variable. Similarly, the coefficient for total imports is also 0.000 with a standard error of 0.000, yielding a t-value of -2.490 and a p-value of 0.023, denoted by '*', indicating that total imports have a statistically significant negative effect on the dependent variable. Overall, the results suggest that both total exports and imports play significant roles in determining the dependent variable, highlighting their importance in

shaping the economic landscape captured by the model.

Table 7: Standardized Coefficients

Source	Value	Standard error	t	Pr >|t|	Lower bound (95%)	Upper bound (95%)	p-values signification codes
Total Exports	2.712	0.747	3.632	0.002	1.136	4.287	**
Total Imports	-1.860	0.746	-2.490	0.023	-3.435	-0.284	*
*Signification codes: 0 < *** < 0.001 < ** < 0.01 < * < 0.05 <. < 0.1 < ° < 1*							

Source: Authors own calculation using XLSTAT

The regression coefficients provided offer valuable insights into the relationship between the dependent variable and two independent variables: total exports in US dollars and total imports in US dollars. The coefficient for total exports is 2.712, with a standard error of 0.747. It yields a t-value of 3.632 and a p-value of 0.002, denoted by '**', indicating statistical significance at the 0.01 level. This suggests that for every unit increase in total exports, there is an associated increase of approximately 2.712 units in the dependent variable. Conversely, the coefficient for total imports is -1.860, also with a standard error of 0.747. It yields a t-value of -2.490 and a p-value of 0.023, denoted by '*', suggesting statistical significance at the 0.05 level. This negative coefficient implies that for every unit increase in total imports, there is a decrease of approximately 1.860 units in the dependent variable. Overall, these results indicate that both total exports and imports have significant impacts on the dependent variable, albeit in opposite directions. Total exports contribute positively, while total imports exert a negative influence, highlighting the complex dynamics of trade relationships captured by the model.

Findings of The Study

Based on the analysis conducted, several key findings emerge regarding the impact of export and import of principal commodities on exchange rates in India:

- Positive Relationship between Total Exports and Exchange Rates: The regression analysis reveals a statistically significant positive relationship between total exports and exchange rates. As the total exports in US dollars increase, there is a corresponding increase in exchange rates, indicating that a rise in export activity contributes to strengthening the Indian rupee relative to the US dollar.
- Negative Relationship between Total Imports and Exchange Rates: Conversely, the analysis demonstrates a statistically significant negative relationship between total imports and exchange rates. An increase in total imports in US dollars leads to a

decrease in exchange rates, suggesting that higher import levels exert downward pressure on the Indian rupee against the US dollar.

- Strong Impact of Total Exports and Imports: Both total exports and imports significantly influence exchange rate movements in India, as evidenced by their regression coefficients and statistical significance levels. This underscores the importance of trade dynamics, particularly in principal commodities, in shaping currency valuations and exchange rate dynamics.
- Economic Implications: These findings have important economic implications for India's trade policies, exchange rate management, and overall economic stability. A focus on promoting export-oriented growth strategies could potentially lead to currency appreciation, while efforts to manage import levels and promote import substitution may help alleviate downward pressure on the exchange rate.
- Policy Considerations: Policymakers need to consider the interplay between trade dynamics and exchange rate movements when formulating policies aimed at enhancing India's export competitiveness, reducing trade imbalances, and maintaining exchange rate stability. Strategies to diversify exports, boost value-added production, and improve trade infrastructure can contribute to sustainable economic growth and exchange rate resilience.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the analysis reveals a significant relationship between principal commodities trade and exchange rates in India over the studied period. Total exports exhibit a positive correlation with exchange rates, indicating that increased export activity contributes to currency appreciation against the US dollar. Conversely, total imports show a negative correlation, suggesting that higher import levels exert downward pressure on the Indian rupee. These findings underscore the importance of trade dynamics in shaping currency valuations and exchange rate movements.

Implications of the Study

As India continues to navigate the complexities of a globalized economy, policymakers must prioritize strategies that promote export competitiveness, reduce import dependency, and maintain exchange rate stability. Enhancing export-oriented growth through value-added production, market diversification, and trade facilitation measures can bolster India's resilience to external shocks while driving economic expansion and job creation. Moreover, targeted efforts to manage import levels, promote import substitution, and improve trade infrastructure can mitigate exchange rate pressures and foster a more balanced trade environment. By leveraging these insights and implementing prudent policy measures, India

can harness the transformative potential of its principal commodities trade to propel sustainable development, enhance global competitiveness, and secure a prosperous future for its citizens in the dynamic landscape of the 21st century global economy.

References

- Amiti, Mary, Oleg Itskhoki, and Jozef Konings. (2014). "Importers, Exporters, and Exchange Rate Disconnect." American Economic Review, 104(7), 1942-78.
- Dada, J. T. (2021). "Asymmetric effect of exchange rate volatility on trade in sub-Saharan African countries." Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences, 37(2), 149-162.
- Gondaliya, V., & Dave, P. (2015). "The impact of exports and imports on exchange rates in India." International Journal of Banking, Finance and Digital Marketing, 1(1), 1-8.
- Hassan, R., Loualiche, E., Pecora, A. R., & Ward, C. (2023). "International trade and the risk in bilateral exchange rates." Journal of Financial Economics, 150(2), 103711.
- Kang, J. W., & Dagli, S. (2018). "International trade and exchange rates." Journal of Applied Economics, 21(1), 84–105.
- Oluyemi, O., & Isaac, E. D. (2017). "The effect of exchange rate on imports and exports in Nigeria from January 1996 to June 2015." International journal of economics and business management, 3(2), 66-77.
- Onafowora, O. A., & Owoye, O. (2008). "Exchange rate volatility and export growth in Nigeria." Applied Economics, 40(12),1547–1556.
- Rahim, M., Millia, H., Adam, P., & Saidi, L. O. (2020). "Causality relation among export, import and exchange rate in Indonesia." International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research, 9(3), 3096-3102.
- Sweidan, O. D. (2013). "The Effect of Exchange Rate on Exports and Imports: The Case of Jordan." The International Trade Journal, 27(2), 156–172.
- Yusoff, M. B., & Nulambeh, N. A. (2016). "Exports, Imports, Exchange rates, Gross domestic investment, and Growth: Empirical Evidence from Cameroon." IIARD International Journal of Economics and Business Management, 2(8), 18-32.